

# ENUMERATE MSEG

## Enumerate Thematic Survey results

Luxembourg, April 9<sup>th</sup> 2013

*Natasha Stroeker, Panteia*

# Outline presentation

1. Preparation and activities Thematic Survey
2. Participating countries
3. Number of invited institutions for each part of the TS
4. Actual process of the TS
5. Actual response at this moment
6. Experiences during the process
7. Problems encountered
8. Some preliminary results

# 1. Preparation & activities Thematic Survey

- Four parts: Cost, Access/Use, Size and Digital Preservation
- Digital Preservation: discussion experts January 17<sup>th</sup> 2013
- Preparation questionnaires: Sept 2012 until March 2013
- Announcement to NC for participation: Nov 13<sup>th</sup> 2012
- Sending NC the selected part in TS: Dec 19<sup>th</sup> 2012
- Asking for institutions and name of contact persons: beginning of January 2013
- Received all institutions: March 2013
- Not participating countries:
  - Bulgaria
  - Czech
  - France
  - Italy
  - Latvia
  - Liechtenstein
  - Norway
  - Romania
  - Malta (was prepared to join cost A but did not deliver institutions)

## 2. Participating countries

<b>Part in TS</b>	<b>Cost A</b>	<b>ACCESS</b>	<b>SIZE</b>	<b>Cost B</b>
Participating countries	Cyprus Denmark Netherlands	Hungary Spain Portugal Slovakia Sweden	Austria Slovenia Estonia Finland Germany* Ireland Luxembourg	Belgium UK Switserland Lithuania Greece* Poland Netherlands
Translation	No	Portugal Slovakia	No	Poland
Number of wanted institutions	15	30	70-100	70-100

### 3. Number of invited institutions

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Participating countries	Cyprus (3) Denmark (5) Netherlands (7)	Hungary (5) Spain (9) Portugal (10) Slovakia (5) Sweden (7)	Austria (14) Slovenia (15) Estonia (13) Finland (13) Germany (11) Ireland (12) Luxembourg (25)	Belgium (11) UK (8) Switzerland (5) Lithuania (10) Greece (42) Poland (26) Netherlands (29)
Number of <b>wanted</b> and invited institutions	<b>15</b> 15	<b>30</b> 36	<b>70-100</b> 103	<b>70-100</b> 131

# 4. Proces Thematic Survey

<b>Part in TS</b>	<b>Cost A</b>	<b>ACCESS</b>	<b>SIZE</b>	<b>Cost B</b>
Starting date	Febr 22 <sup>nd</sup> (March 4 <sup>th</sup> )	March 8 <sup>th</sup> (March 15 <sup>th</sup> )	March 15 <sup>th</sup> (March 22 <sup>nd</sup> )	April 3 <sup>rd</sup>
Reminder	March 5 <sup>th</sup> (March 8 <sup>th</sup> )	March 19 <sup>th</sup> (March 26 <sup>th</sup> )	March 25 <sup>th</sup> (April 2 <sup>nd</sup> )	
Ask NC for reminder	March 13 <sup>th</sup>	March 25 <sup>th</sup>	March 25 <sup>th</sup>	
Deadline	April 10 <sup>th</sup>	April 10 <sup>th</sup>	April 10 <sup>th</sup>	April 16 <sup>th</sup> (1 <sup>st</sup> )
Response: -Completed -Partly	5 6	11 7	24 29	

# 5. Response

Part in TS	Cost A	ACCESS	SIZE	Cost B
Response per participating country	Cyprus (3 of 3) Denmark (1 of 5) Netherlands (1 of 7)	Hungary (4 of 5) Spain (5 of 9) Portugal (3 of 10) Slovakia (0 of 5) Sweden (3 of 7)	Austria (4 of 14) Slovenia (5 of 15) Estonia (6 of 13) Finland (7 of 13) Germany (7 of 11) Ireland (3 of 12) Luxembourg (5 of 25)	Belgium (11) UK (8) Switzerland (5) Lithuania (10) Greece (42) Poland (26) Netherlands (29)
Total response (completed questionnaires)	5 of 15 = 33%	15 of 36 = 42%	37 of 103 = 36%	Just started (3) (total = 131)
Partly completed	6	6	22	

## 6. Experience during the process

- Preparation (both of questionnaire as in the contacts with NC's) takes longer than calculated in advance
- Cooperation of NC is great!
- COST A too long and complicated. Simplified for COST B
- SIZE questionnaire too long: ad random selection of two of the three methods (combi 1 = 1 + 2; combi 2 = 2 + 3; combi 3 = 1 + 3)
- Helpdesk works: institutes directly ask questions
- Some institutes want their answers returned so they have the data available (so they especially gathered the information for this purpose)



## 7. Problems encountered

- Delay in the phase of preparation of the questionnaires
  - Started later
- Delay in the phase of field research, implementation
  - Started later
  - Takes more time to complete questionnaire: reminders and attention of NC's needed
- Not always clear that personalized link should be used
- Some institutions mention they have just filled out a questionnaire from Enumerate a few months ago (mix-up with Core Survey)
- Using translations can make it complicated to fill out the online survey. Opportunity to fill out in translated word file.
- For SIZE a lot of word files are received. Disadvantage: no check on data, so own interpretation is needed

## 8. Some preliminary results (1)

### **COST A**

- Too long and too complicated
- Data are often not registered in a way that we ask for them
- We ask for certain already formulated types of costs, but nobody mentions new types of costs
- Type of institutes: 2 archives, 1 AV, 1 museum, 1 library

### **ACCESS**

- Average time spent: 20 – 50 minutes (36 minutes)
- One person: two days
- Type: 10 libraries, 3 archives, 2 museums

## 8. Some preliminary results (2)

### SIZE

- Institutes that have filled out are divided over three methods
- Preference for CRS method (most simple to fill out)
- Average time spent:
  - Method 1 CRS: 19 minutes
  - Method 2 Detailed sub collection: 45 minutes
  - Method 3 Improves Core Survey: 36 minutes
- Type of institutes: 15 museums, 12 libraries, 11 archives

# Questions?

